

Content Validity of the Atopic Dermatitis Symptom Scale (ADerm-SS) and Atopic Dermatitis Impact Scale (ADerm-IS) in Adolescents to Assess the Symptoms and Impacts of Atopic Dermatitis

Jonathan I. Silverberg¹, Eric L. Simpson², Megan McLafferty³, Sylvia Su⁴, Paolo Medrano³, Brian M. Calimlim⁵, Amy S. Paller⁶

¹ Department of Dermatology, The George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Washington DC, USA;

² Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA;

³ Patient-Centered Outcomes, Adelphi Values LLC, Boston, MA, USA;

⁴ Patient-Centered Outcomes, Adelphi Values LLC, Hermosa Beach, CA, USA;

⁵ AbbVie Inc., North Chicago, IL, USA;

⁶ Department of Dermatology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

Submission: Revolutionizing Atopic Dermatitis (Virtual Conference; 13-14 December 2020)

Word count: [523/700] (including title and headings)

Objectives: Atopic dermatitis (AD) is characterized by pruritus, redness, and skin pain, and can lead to disrupted sleep, limitations on daily activities, difficulty concentrating, and feelings of frustration and self-consciousness. Informed by best measurement practices, two patient-reported outcome (PRO) questionnaires – the Atopic Dermatitis Symptom Scale (ADerm-SS) and the Atopic Dermatitis Impact Scale (ADerm-IS) – were developed to measure symptoms and impacts of moderate-to-severe AD in adults 18 years and over. The ADerm-SS uses a 24-hour recall period to measure 11 AD symptoms such as itch while awake, itch while asleep, skin pain, skin flaking, and skin cracking. The ADerm-IS uses 10 items to measure AD-related impacts: three items use a 24-hour recall period to measure AD's impact on sleep and seven items use a 7-day recall period to measure other impacts such as limitations to household activities, physical activities, and social activities, as well as emotional impacts such as embarrassment and sadness. Both the ADerm-SS and ADerm-IS use an 11-point numerical rating scale (NRS) for each item (range 0-10; higher scores indicating worse symptoms/impacts). The objective of this study was to evaluate the relevance, comprehensiveness, and comprehensibility of the questionnaires for adolescents with moderate-to-severe AD (i.e. content validity).

Methods: Adolescents (12-17 years old) with moderate-to-severe AD were recruited to participate in 90-minute hybrid concept elicitation/cognitive debriefing interviews. A diagnosis of moderate or severe AD (Validated Investigator Global Assessment Scale for AD score ≥ 3) was required. Participants spontaneously reported the symptoms and impacts of AD they experienced, then completed the ADerm-SS and ADerm-IS, and then provided feedback on the instructions, items, and response options of the questionnaires. Interviews

were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, anonymized, and analyzed using qualitative and quantitative methods.

Results: Twenty adolescents (mean age of 14.9 years; 50% male; 55% white; 45% severe AD) participated in the interviews. A total of 12 symptoms and 22 impacts were reported. The most frequently reported symptom was itch (n=20, 100%), which was also reported as the most bothersome symptom; other frequently reported symptoms included rash, dry skin, and skin flaking. The most frequently reported impact was sleep interference (n=15, 75%); physical activity limitations, difficulty concentrating, and leisure activity limitations were also frequently reported. Adolescents reported having experienced the symptoms and impacts measured by the ADerm-SS and ADerm-IS and found the questionnaires to be comprehensive, reporting that they did not consider any important symptoms and impacts missing. Adolescents also found the questionnaires to be comprehensible, being able to read, understand, and provide meaningful responses to the instructions, items, and response options.

Conclusions: Results provide confirmatory evidence that the ADerm-SS and ADerm-IS are relevant, comprehensive, comprehensible, and appropriate for use in adolescents with moderate-to-severe AD. The ADerm-SS and ADerm-IS were originally developed for adults, and these qualitative results provide support for the content validity of this younger age group in line with best measurement practices summarized in regulatory guidelines. Quantitative evaluation of the ADerm-SS and ADerm-IS scores from a Phase 3 clinical trial will provide further evidence of their reliability, validity, and interpretability.

Disclosures:

Jonathan I. Silverberg received honoraria for advisory board, speaker, and consultant services from AbbVie, Asana, Bluefin, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Celgene, Dermavant, Dermira, Eli Lilly, Galderma, GlaxoSmithKline, Glenmark, Incyte, Kiniksa, Leo Pharma, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, Sanofi, and research grants for investigator services from Galderma.

Eric L. Simpson reports grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from Eli Lilly; grants and personal fees from Anacor Pharma, GlaxoSmithKline, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi Genzyme, Pfizer, Leo Pharma, Eli Lilly, and Valeant Pharmaceuticals; personal fees from AbbVie, Celgene Corporation, Dermira, Galderma, Genentech, Leo Pharma, Menlo Therapeutics; and grants from MedImmune, Novartis, Roivant Sciences, Tioga Pharmaceuticals, and Vanda Pharmaceuticals.

Megan McLafferty, Sylvia Su, and Paolo Medrano are employed by Adelphi Values LLC, which received payment from AbbVie Inc. to support the research activities presented in this publication.

Brian M. Calimlim is an employee of AbbVie and may own AbbVie stock or stock options.

Amy S. Paller has served as an investigator for AbbVie, Anaptysbio, Incyte, Janssen, LEO Pharma, Lilly, Lēnus, Novartis, Regeneron, and UCB and honorarium for consultancy from AbbVie, Abeona, Almirall, Asana Biosciences, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bridgebio, Dermavant, Dermira, Exicure, Forté Pharma, Galderma, Incyte, InMed, Janssen, LEO Pharma, Lilly, LifeMax, Novartis, Pfizer, RAPT Therapeutics, Regeneron, Sanofi Genzyme, Sol-Gel, and UCB.

Funding Statement: AbbVie Inc., funded this study and participated in the study design; study research; collection, analysis and interpretation of data; and writing, reviewing and approving of this publication. All authors had access to the data, and participated in the development, review, and approval, and in the decision to submit this publication. No honoraria or payments were made for authorship.