

## Safety and Efficacy of Upadacitinib Monotherapy in Adolescents and Adults with Moderate-to-severe Atopic Dermatitis: Results From 2 Pivotal, Phase 3, Randomized, Double-blinded, Monotherapy, Placebo-controlled Studies (Measure Up 1 and Measure Up 2)

**Authors:** Emma Guttman-Yassky, MD<sup>1</sup>; Henrique D Teixeira, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Eric L Simpson, MD<sup>3</sup>; Kim A Papp, MD<sup>4</sup>; Aileen L Pangan, MD<sup>2</sup>; Andrew Blauvelt, MD<sup>5</sup>; Diamant Thaçi, MD<sup>6</sup>; Chia-Yu Chu, MD<sup>7</sup>; H Chih-ho Hong, MD<sup>8</sup>; Norito Katoh, MD<sup>9</sup>; Amy S Paller, MD<sup>10</sup>; Brian Calimlim, DrPH<sup>2</sup>; Yihua Gu, MS<sup>2</sup>; Xiaofei Hu; PhD<sup>2</sup>; Meng Liu, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Yang, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Allan Raymond Tenorio, MD<sup>2</sup>; Alvina D Chu, MD<sup>2</sup>; Alan D Irvine, MD<sup>11</sup>

**Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Department of Dermatology and the Laboratory for Inflammatory Skin Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA; <sup>2</sup>AbbVie Inc., North Chicago, Illinois, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA; <sup>4</sup>Probit Medical Research and K Papp Clinical Research, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada; <sup>5</sup>Oregon Medical Research Center, Portland, Oregon, USA; <sup>6</sup>Research Institute and Comprehensive Center for Inflammation Medicine, University of Lübeck, Lübeck, Germany; <sup>7</sup>Department of Dermatology, National Taiwan University Hospital and National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan; <sup>8</sup>Dr. Chih-ho Hong Medical Inc., Surrey, British Columbia, Canada; Department of Dermatology and Skin Science, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; and Probit Medical Research, Surrey, British Columbia, Canada; <sup>9</sup>Department of Dermatology, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan; <sup>10</sup>Department of Dermatology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, USA; <sup>11</sup>Clinical Medicine, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland; Wellcome Trust-HRB Clinical Research Facility, St James' Hospital, Dublin, Ireland.

**Introduction & Objective:** There is a significant medical need for additional treatment options for patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis (AD). Upadacitinib (UPA) is an oral Janus kinase 1 (JAK1)–selective inhibitor with phase 2 data showing safety and efficacy in patients with moderate-to-severe AD. Here, efficacy and safety of UPA monotherapy vs placebo (PBO) was further assessed in 2 replicate phase 3, double-blinded, multicenter studies—Measure Up 1 (NCT03569293) and Measure Up 2 (NCT03607422).

**Materials & Methods:** Eligible adolescents (aged 12–17 years with body weight  $\geq 40$  kg) and adults (aged 18–75 years) with moderate-to-severe AD ( $\geq 10\%$  of body surface area affected by AD, Eczema Area and Severity Index [EASI]  $\geq 16$ , validated Investigator's Global Assessment for AD [vIGA-AD]  $\geq 3$ , and Worst Pruritus Numerical Rating Scale [NRS] score  $\geq 4$ ) were randomized 1:1:1 to receive UPA 15 mg, UPA 30 mg, or PBO once daily for 16 weeks. Coprimary endpoints were the proportion of patients achieving  $\geq 75\%$  reduction in EASI (EASI 75) and the proportion of patients achieving vIGA-AD of clear (0) or almost clear (1) with  $\geq 2$  grades of reduction from baseline (vIGA-AD 0/1), both at week 16. Multiple secondary efficacy endpoints related to improvements in skin clearance, itch, and quality of life were also assessed. Safety was assessed by monitoring for adverse events (AEs).

**Results:** A total of 847 patients (281 UPA 15 mg, 285 UPA 30 mg, and 281 PBO) in Measure Up 1 and 836 patients (276 UPA 15 mg, 282 UPA 30 mg, 278 PBO) in Measure Up 2 were randomized and treated; among these, 124 (14.6%) and 104 (12.4%), respectively, were adolescents. Demographics and baseline characteristics were well balanced among UPA 15 mg, UPA 30 mg, and PBO groups, including mean (SD) EASI (Measure Up 1: 30.6 [12.8], 29.0 [11.1], and 28.8 [12.6], respectively; Measure Up 2: 28.6 [11.7], 29.6 [12.2], and 29.1 [12.1], respectively) and proportion of patients with severe vIGA-AD (Measure Up 1: 45.2%, 46.0%, and 44.5%, respectively; Measure Up 2: 54.3%, 55.3%, and 55.0%, respectively). Both studies met the coprimary and all secondary endpoints, demonstrating superiority of UPA 15 mg and UPA 30 mg vs PBO (**Table 1**). In both Measure Up 1 and Measure Up 2, the proportions of patients who achieved either EASI 75 or vIGA-AD 0/1 at week 16 were significantly greater ( $P < .001$  for all comparisons) for both UPA 15 mg and UPA 30 mg vs PBO. At week 16, UPA 30

mg achieved numerically higher response rates than UPA 15 mg for both coprimary endpoints and key secondary endpoints, including improvements in skin clearance, itch, and quality of life. Both UPA 15 and UPA 30 mg were well tolerated in adolescents and adults. The incidences of serious AEs and AEs leading to discontinuation of study drug were low and similar among treatment groups (**Table 2**). The most commonly reported treatment-emergent AEs ( $\geq 5\%$  in any treatment group, in either study) were acne, upper respiratory tract infection, nasopharyngitis, headache, increased blood creatine phosphokinase (Measure Up 1 only), and dermatitis atopic. No new safety signals were observed compared with the known safety profile of UPA, except for higher rates of acne.

**Conclusions:** In these replicate pivotal, phase 3 trials, both UPA monotherapy doses demonstrated high degrees of efficacy and improved quality of life in adolescents and adults with moderate-to-severe AD. UPA was also well tolerated, with no new safety issues reported.

**Table 1: Coprimary and Key Secondary Endpoints by Domain**

	Timeframe	Measure Up 1			Measure Up 2		
		PBO N = 281	UPA 15 mg N = 281	UPA 30 mg N = 285	PBO N = 278	UPA 15 mg N = 276	UPA 30 mg N = 282
<b>Coprimary Efficacy Endpoints</b>							
Patients achieving EASI 75, %	Week 16	16.3	69.6*	79.7*	13.3	60.1*	72.9*
Patients achieving vIGA-AD 0/1, %	Week 16	8.4	48.1*	62.0*	4.7	38.8*	52.0*
<b>Key Secondary Efficacy Endpoints</b>							
<i>Skin Improvement</i>							
Patients achieving EASI 90, %	Week 16	8.1	53.1*	65.8*	5.4	42.4*	58.5*
<i>Itch</i>							
Patients achieving Worst Pruritus NRS improvement $\geq 4$ , <sup>a</sup> %	Week 16	N = 272 11.8	N = 274 52.2*	N = 280 60.0*	N = 274 9.1	N = 270 41.9*	N = 280 59.6*
	Week 4	4.4	51.5*	66.8*	3.6	48.9*	60.7*
<i>Overall Symptom Frequency</i>							
Patients achieving POEM total score improvement $\geq 4$ , <sup>b</sup> %	Week 16	N = 276 22.8	N = 278 75.0*	N = 280 81.4*	N = 268 28.7	N = 268 70.9*	N = 269 83.5*
<i>Quality of Life</i>							
Patients achieving DLQI improvement $\geq 4$ , <sup>c</sup> %	Week 16	N = 250 29.0	N = 254 75.4*	N = 256 82.0*	N = 250 28.4	N = 251 71.7*	N = 251 77.6*
Patients achieving DLQI 0/1, <sup>d</sup> %	Week 16	N = 252 4.4	N = 258 30.3*	N = 261 41.5*	N = 257 4.7	N = 252 23.8*	N = 256 37.9*

\* $P < .001$  for all UPA vs PBO.

<sup>a</sup>Among patients with Worst Pruritus NRS  $\geq 4$  at baseline, based on a rolling average calculated from the 7 consecutive days.

<sup>b</sup>Among patients with POEM total score  $\geq 4$  at baseline.

<sup>c</sup>Among patients  $\geq 16$  years with DLQI  $\geq 4$  at baseline.

<sup>d</sup>Among patients  $\geq 16$  years with DLQI  $> 1$  at baseline.

DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; EASI 75/90,  $\geq 75\%/90\%$  improvement in Eczema Area and Severity Index; NRS, numerical rating scale; PBO, placebo; POEM, Patient Oriented Eczema Measure; UPA, upadacitinib; vIGA-AD, validated Investigator's Global Assessment for Atopic Dermatitis.

Table 2. Treatment-emergent Adverse Events in the Double-blind Period

TEAEs, n (%)	PBO		UPA 15 mg		UPA 30 mg	
	Measure Up 1 N = 281	Measure Up 2 N = 278	Measure Up 1 N = 281	Measure Up 2 N = 276	Measure Up 1 N = 285	Measure Up 2 N = 282
Any TEAE	166 (59.1)	146 (52.5)	176 (62.6)	166 (60.1)	209 (73.3)	173 (61.3)
SAEs	8 (2.8)	8 (2.9)	6 (2.1)	5 (1.8)	8 (2.8)	7 (2.5)
AEs leading to discontinuation of study drug	12 (4.3)	12 (4.3)	4 (1.4)	11 (4.0)	11 (3.9)	7 (2.5)
Deaths	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>AESIs</b>						
Serious infections	0	2 (0.7)	2 (0.7)	1 (0.4)	2 (0.7)	2 (0.7)
Opportunistic infection excluding TB, herpes zoster, and eczema herpeticum	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eczema herpeticum	4 (1.4)	0	0	3 (1.1)	3 (1.1)	0
Herpes zoster	0	2 (0.7)	5 (1.8)	6 (2.2)	6 (2.1)	3 (1.1)
Active TB	0	0	0	0	0	0
NMSC	0	0	1 (0.4)	2 (0.7)	0	1 (0.4)
Malignancy excluding NMSC	0	0	0	0	2 (0.7)	1 (0.4)
Hepatic disorder	2 (0.7)	4 (1.4)	5 (1.8)	2 (0.7)	8 (2.8)	4 (1.4)
Adjudicated GI perforations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anemia	1 (0.4)	2 (0.7)	1 (0.4)	2 (0.7)	5 (1.8)	4 (1.4)
Neutropenia	2 (0.7)	1 (0.4)	4 (1.4)	2 (0.7)	15 (5.3)	6 (2.1)
Lymphopenia	2 (0.7)	0	1 (0.4)	0	2 (0.7)	1 (0.4)
Renal dysfunction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adjudicated MACE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adjudicated VTE	0	1 (0.4)	0	0	0	0

AE, adverse event; AESI, adverse event of special interest; GI, gastrointestinal; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; NMSC, nonmelanoma skin cancer; PBO, placebo; SAE, serious adverse event; TB, tuberculosis; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; UPA, upadacitinib; VTE, venous thromboembolic event.